



Win in a balanced situation

By CK Wong 2005.11.29

<http://www.ck-wong.ca/technologies/win%20in%20a%20balanced%20situation.pdf>

Introduction

In the War Period (about 100 BC), there were two war lords engaged in a friendly horse race: three matches with two horses per match. Each war lord had 3 horses OK, better and best of exact match. One of the war lord's strategists suggested the following:

- Race the worse with the best of opponent. Let them win one match.
- Race the best with the better of opponent and better with the worse to win two other matches.

This is the application of the game theory (before it is invented) that controls the outcome by identify the winning factor.

Today I would like to talk about how the people of Canada could vote for what they want.

Detioration of Canadian's Bank Book

Liberal party has been dominated the parliament for the recent half century with the exception of Mulronly period. Even than, Liberal was not exactly sleeping.

The health care quality has been significant deteriorated. Hospitals continuously run with heavy deficit while salary became extremely top heavy. Hospitals in specific areas are opened and closed like the blinking of Christmas tree.

Personal tax has only one direction. Personal exemption could not match the compressed official inflation rate. Deductible disappears one after another. Some tax deductible was replaced with tax credit of the same category. If you do not have something to use the credit, you lost it. Interest income is not encouraged by removing the deductible without any tax credit replaced. All these are done while excessive surplus has been reported year after year. Surplus is a good health success factor for the government. When it becomes at the order of C\$10B to the budget when the manufacturing industry is struggling with the high C\$, it does not paint a pretty picture.

No Clear Winning Leader

To be more specific on what people worry is that Liberal consistently haunted by the Sponsorship kickback. Conservative is not a saint. The free trade agreement has given American access to a lot of natural resources but completely powerless over areas Canadian industries that could compete with American such as soft wood lumber and grains. I would ask why someone would do something like this. On top of NAFTA,

Conservative ministers and prime minister associated with kickback scenarios. Although they were exonerated but question marks imprinted in people's mind.

NDP is the socialist. Ed Broadbent has been the prominent image of a clean politician. After coming back for one year as MP for the Ottawa Central, he stopped. This also creates some doubt in many people's mind to ask why. More, NDP's shadow cabinet has not shown the leadership and resourcefulness to handle tough situation. They play the role of critics well.

Liberal's *temporary art gallery* at Jean Chretien's riding that cost millions of dollars is just absurd. Nothing could justify the spending for a small town with thousands of population.

Paul Martin is an excellent CEO but he could not manage the people under him. hBelinda Stronach's walk across the floor give the impression that Liberal will do everything just to be in power not for the benefit of people. Paul Martin, as a sound and success business man, would know the suspense on income trust will kill the sector but what has he done.

Stephen Harper's flip-flopping on the non-confident vote is horrible. He did not have the gut to bring it up. However when Jack Layton determined to do it, he jumps out and take the low hanging fruit. His double talk will lose the trust of many common people.

Quebecois continues the disruptive shouting match and milking the Federal government. It is not their intention to be in power. Otherwise how could they leave Canada?

The rich will always be able to anticipate the full benefit of any party. The sacrifice is the low and mid income layer.

Equilibrium is the Operative Word

If there is a majority in the House of Common, the same problem will repeat itself. If NDP is in power, it would be very much doubtful it could assemble a high caliber. A majority government when able could take the country to a higher level. But I do not see one could be form now. Minority government is always more moderate and lower income pleasing.

To achieve it, vote will have to be done in an indirect way. You cannot vote for what you like. You have to vote out the dominant party. You may have to vote for the weakest link. This has the adverse effect on the worse candidate could win.